

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Δ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/608,512	06/30/2000	Reynold V. D'Sa	2207/P6786	9566
7590 07/19/2005			EXAMINER	
Kenyon & Kenyon 333 W San Carlos Street Suite 600			MEONSKE, TONIA L	
San Jose, CA 95110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 2183	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
D'SA ET AL.		
Art Unit		
2183		

	Tonia L. Meonske	2183					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(t)	an SIX MONTHS from the maili ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE	ing date of the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount o atutory period for reply originally	of the fee. The appropriate extension set in the final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any source a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 4	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection			because				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further or</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>		(see NOTE below),					
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beat (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beat appeal; and/or	•	erially reducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice o	f Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	,						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).			_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		b)	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:	•						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections und ry and was not earlier pres	der appeal and/or appellant fa ented.  See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claim	ns after entry is below or attac	ched.				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the appl	ication in condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449	) Paper No(s)					
	·						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claim amendments would require a further consideration and/or search. The amendments may give rise to problems under 35 USC 101 and/or 112.

EDDIE CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100